#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

July 26, 2011

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

#### VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Cathy Woollums, Senior Vice President Environmental Services Mid American Energy Company P.O. Box 657 Des Moines, IA 50306-0657

Dear Ms. Woollums,

On September 15, 2010 the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and its engineering contractors conducted a coal combustion residual (CCR) site assessment at the Louisa Generating Station facility. The purpose of this visit was to assess the structural stability of the impoundment or other similar management units that contain "wet" handled CCRs. We thank you and your staff for your cooperation during the site visit. Subsequent to the site visit, EPA sent you a copy of the draft report evaluating the structural stability of the unit at the Louisa Generating Station facility and requested that you submit comments on the factual accuracy of the draft report to EPA. Your comments were considered in the preparation of the final report.

The final report for the Louisa Generating Station facility is enclosed. This report includes a specific condition rating for each CCR management unit and recommendations and actions that our engineering contractors believe should be undertaken to ensure the stability of the CCR impoundment(s) located at the Louisa Generating Station facility. These recommendations are listed in Enclosure 2.

Since these recommendations relate to actions which could affect the structural stability of the CCR management units and, therefore, protection of human health and the environment, EPA believes their implementation should receive the highest priority. Therefore, we request that you inform us on how you intend to address each of the recommendations found in the final report. Your response should include specific plans and schedules for implementing each of the recommendations. If you will not implement a recommendation, please provide a rationale. Please provide a response to this request by August 23, 2011. Please send your response to:

Mr. Stephen Hoffman U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (5304P) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460 If you are using overnight of hand delivery mail, please use the following address:

Mr. Stephen Hoffman U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Two Potomac Yard 2733 S. Crystal Drive 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, N-5838 Arlington, VA 22202-2733

You may also provide a response by e-mail to <a href="mailto:hoffman.stephen@epa.gov">hoffman.stephen@epa.gov</a>

You may assert a business confidentiality claim covering all or part of the information requested, in the manner described by 40 C. F. R. Part 2, Subpart B. Information covered by such a claim will be disclosed by EPA only to the extent and only by means of the procedures set forth in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no such claim accompanies the information when EPA receives it, the information may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice to you. If you wish EPA to treat any of your response as "confidential" you must so advise EPA when you submit your response.

EPA will be closely monitoring your progress in implementing the recommendations from these reports and could decide to take additional action if the circumstances warrant.

You should be aware that EPA will be posting the report for this facility on the Agency website shortly.

Given that the site visit related solely to structural stability of the management units, this report and its conclusions in no way relate to compliance with RCRA, CWA, or any other environmental law and are not intended to convey any position related to statutory or regulatory compliance.

Please be advised that providing false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements of representation may subject you to criminal penalties under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Mr. Hoffman in the Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery at (703) 308-8413. Thank you for your continued efforts to ensure protection of human health and the environment.

Sincerely, /Suzanne Rudzinski/, Director Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery

**Enclosures** 

#### Enclosure 2

# **Louisa Generating Station Recommendations (from the final assessment report)**

## 1.2.1 Recommendations Regarding the Structural Stability

MEC should consider measures to bring the slope stability factor of safety for steady state conditions for the Bottom Ash Pond, southwest dike section, up to the minimum USACE requirements for dams.

## 1.2.2 Recommendations Regarding the Supporting Technical Documentation

Given the marginal nature of slope stability factor of safety analyses, MEC should perform analyses for rapid drawdown conditions and seismic loading conditions for the Bottom Ash Pond.

### 1.2.3 Recommendations Regarding the Maintenance and Methods of Operation

Dense brush and trees should be removed from portions of the downstream/outside slopes; proper grass ground cover needs to be reestablished.

# 1.2.4 Recommendations Regarding Continued Safe and Reliable Operation

See Sections 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 above concerning the Bottom Ash Pond.